BUSINESS 30 Jul 2013

Next stop in Sishen saga is the Concourt

Dineo Faku

THE DEPARTMENT of eral Resources and Im Crown Trading (ICT) lodged their application Min Imperial T) have lodged their application to appeal judgments by the high court and Supreme Court of Appeal, which granted 100 percent exclusive right over Sishen mine to Sishen Iron Ore Company (SIOC).

The Constitutional Court will decide on September 3 whether to hear the appeal.

A 21.4 percent mining right over Sishen was held by ArcelorMittal South Africa, a over Arcelormitian south Arrays, a local subsidiary of the global steel giant, with SIOC holding the remaining 78.6 percent. steel giant, with SIOC holding the remaining 78.6 percent. SIOC now holds 100 percent of the right at Sishen after the court judgments ArcelorMittal SA lost its right after it failed to convert

its old-order mining right to a new-order right by April 2009 – as required by Mineral and Petroleum April sources Development Act

sources Development Act.

The department awarded ICT the 21.4 percent prospecting right in 2009, but later the high court ruled that ICT should not have been awarded the prospecting rights. SIOC became the exclusive mining right holder at Sishen as from May 2009.

The case between the State and SIOC, a subsidiary of Kumba Iron Ore, lies at the subsidiary of Ore, lies at the

Kumba Iron Ore, lies at the heart of transformation in the mining industry. In papers lodged on Wednes day, the State argued that "transformation is a matter of high constitutional principle". It also said that SIOC's applica-

tion was limited to the conversion of its 78.6 percent share.

It said ArcelorMittal SA's "21.4 percent right continued to exist from the date of the to exist from the date of the conversion of the order share until April 30, 2009. There is from

semantic about the ion that 78.6 percent nan. that 78 and be nothing proposition cannot suddenly into 100 percent." conjured ArcelorMittal SA benefited from a supply agreement with SIOC, which meant iron ore

SIOC, which meant iron ore was supplied at cost plus 3 percent. After Arcelor Mittal SA failed to convert its mining right, Kumba insisted that the agreement had ceased to exist. The dispute has been referred to arbitration to arbitration

In its submission to the Constitutional Court in July, SIOC argued that the decision by the Supreme Court of Appeals to uphold SIOC's mining right uphold SIOC's mining right was in line with the objectives of the Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act. SIOC insisted that granting

the 21.4 percent mining right to ICT would have no merits as it did not have the capacity to did not have the capacity to mine at Sishen. "The granting the right will therefore not employment, promote economic growth, social welfare or development of mineral resources as contemposection 2 of the [act].

ICT's director. contemplated include

Gugu Mtshali, who is reported to be the girlfriend of Deputy President Kgalema Motlanthe; Archie Luhlabo, a former mem ber of the Mineworkers Invest-Sehunelo, Kimberley's former municipal manager.
Ronnie Mendelow, a lawyer for ICT, pointed out that a successful appeal to the Coretical appeal appeal to the Coretical appeal to the Coretical appeal appeal appeal appeal to the Coretical appeal ap Company; and Phemelo

appeal to the Constitu tional Court did not mean that would get the 21.4 percent re. "Any person could then by for that 21.4 percent in IC1 share. "A nly for ICT that mining right should the Constitutional Court uphold the appeal," Mendelow said.

The appeal is based on а Constitutional Court judgment in a case between Agri South Africa and the minister of minerals and energy.

This material has been copied under a DALRO licence and is not for resale or retransmission.